IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO. 5388 OF 2006 .

Abdul Ahad Narvel ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Saher Abdul Narvel ... Respondent.

Shri R. V. Govilkar for the petitioner.

Shri Saed Akhtar for the respondent.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED : 4.9.2006.

P.C. :

. Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties.

Perused petition.

2. Having heard Shri Govilkar at length, one has to observe that the view taken by the Court below is a prima facie view based on prima facie material available on record. The view taken seems to be reasonable and possible view. Since this Court is not exercising appellate jurisdiction, this Court cannot substitute its own findings in place of the impugned order. No perversity in the order could be seen or demonstrated by the petitioner. No case is made out to entertain this petition. Petition is, therefore, dismissed in limine. However, hearing of the substantive proceeding is expedited. No order as to costs.

(V.C.DAGA,J.)